IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

IN THE MATTER OF THE §

PETITION OF DAVID-MICHAEL § No. 203, 2009

BAKER FOR A WRIT OF §
MANDAMUS §

Submitted: April 20, 2009 Decided: June 2, 2009

Before **HOLLAND**, **BERGER**, and **JACOBS**, Justices.

ORDER

This 2nd day of June 2009, upon consideration of the petition of David-Michael Baker for a writ of mandamus, it appears to the Court that:

(1) The petitioner seeks to invoke the original jurisdiction of this Court, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 43, to issue a writ of mandamus directing the Court of Chancery to grant his petition to file his complaint against several defendants, including the State of Pennsylvania and other state or local officials, without prepayment of the required filing fee. The Court of Chancery denied petitioner's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* in that court and also indicated that the complaint was subject to dismissal for failure to state a claim under Court of Chancery Rule 12(b)(6). We find that Baker's petition manifestly fails to invoke this Court's original jurisdiction. Accordingly, the petition must be dismissed.

(2) A writ of mandamus is designed to compel a lower court to

perform a duty if it is shown that: the complainant has a clear right to the

performance of the duty; that no other adequate remedy is available; and that the

trial court has arbitrarily failed or refused to perform its duty. A writ of

mandamus will not be issued "to compel a trial court to perform a particular

judicial function, to decide a matter in a particular way, or to dictate the control

of its docket."² A writ of mandamus is not warranted under the present

circumstances because the grant of in forma pauperis status is a matter within

the sound discretion of the trial court and mandamus will not lie to challenge the

performance of a discretionary act.³

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the petition for the issuance

of an extraordinary writ of mandamus is DENIED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Carolyn Berger

Justice

¹In re Bordley, 545 A.2d 619, 620 (Del. 1988).

² Id.

³ *Id*.

-2-